

The second s				
Proposal Title :	Thirlmere Way, Tahmoo)r		
Proposal Summary :	To rezone land from ru	ral to low	density residential.	
PP Number :	PP_2013_WOLLY_001_	00	Dop File No :	WA443781
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	11-Feb-2013		LGA covered :	Wollondilly
Region :	Sydney Region West		RPA :	Wollondilly Shire Council
State Electorate :	WOLLONDILLY		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Precinct			
Location Details				
Street : 90	Thirlmere Way			
Suburb : Tal	moor	City :	Wollondilly	Postcode :
Land Parcel : LO	T 2 DP 737056			
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	David Radich			
Contact Number :	0298601568			
Contact Email :	david.radich@planning.n	isw.gov.a	u	
RPA Contact Detai	ls			
Contact Name :	Kitty Carter 0246778230			
Contact Number :	0246778230			
Contact Email :	kitty.carter@wollondilly.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Manager Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Stephen Gardiner			
Contact Number :	0298601536			
Contact Email :				
Land Release Data	I			
Growth Centre :	N/A		Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro South West subro	egion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes

Thirlmere Way, Tahmoor Date of Release : MDP Number : Residential 2.45 Type of Release (eg Area of Release (Ha) Residential / Employment land) : 20 No. of Dwellings No. of Lots : 0 (where relevant) : No of Jobs Created : n Gross Floor Area : 0 The NSW Government Yes Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment : Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? : At this point in time, to the best of the regional team's knowledge, the Department's Code of If Yes, comment : Practice in relation to communications and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with. No such communications or meetings have occurred. Supporting notes The Proposal will provide additional housing opportunities by rezoning a parcel of land Internal Supporting from RU4 Primary Production Small Lots to R2 Low Density Residential. Notes : The land is located adjacent to an existing residential area with urban services provided. The location of the proposal is 1km from Tahmoor Train Station and 1.3km from Tahmoor's employment area. The rezoning of the land is in keeping with the existing residential density of the area and provides an opportunity for additional housing choices. Preliminary subdivision plans indicate a yield of 20 lots from the 2.45ha parcel. The Proposal identifies a number of studies to be completed: Flora and fauna and habitats, Drainage and Stormwater **Bushfire Hazards Traffic and Transport** Contaminated land, and Heritage The planning proposal should proceed subject to conditions and studies In accordance with the Instrument of Delegation dated 21 April 2010, the function of Gateway is not delegated to the Director General in this instance as the Proposal seeks to rezone rural land that is not identified for residential purposes in the draft South West Subregional Strategy (i.e. regional strategy). In addition, Wollondilly Council has not formally accepted delegations. External Supporting Notes : Adequacy Assessment Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a) Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The proposal is to rezone land to allow low density residential development. This will

increase housing supply in the area and contribute to meeting the objectives of the draft Wollondilly Growth Management Strategy.

The land is in an existing residential area and will contribute towards the prevention of isolated rural areas being used for more intensive residential developments

The objectives are specified in a manner consistent with the Departments guide to preparing Planning Proposals.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

To amend the provisions of Wollondilly Local Environmental Plan 2011 as follows: · change the Land Zoning Map from RU4 Primary Production Small Lots to R2 Low Density Residential

- . change the Lot Size Map from a 2 hectare minimum to a minimum lot size of 700m2
- change the Height of Buildings Map from no height limit to a maximum height of 9 metre.

The maps are set out in a manner consistent with the Departments guide to preparing Planning Proposals.

The following maps are proposed to be amended.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

1.2 Rural Zones

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes SEPP No 1-Development Standards d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 4-Development Without Consent and Miscellaneous Exempt and Complying Development SEPP No 6-Number of Storeys in a Building SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury-Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997) Council does not appear to have delegated authority to undertake plan-making e) List any other functions in this instance. matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain : **1.2 Rural Zones** This Direction applies to the Proposal as it rezones land from a rural zone to a

residential zone. The Proposal is inconsistent with the objective of this direction which seeks to protect agricultural production value of rural land. The inconsistency is considered to be justified for the following reasons:

• The proposal is consistent with the vision and objectives of the draft South West Subregional Strategy with the key direction of accommodating housing needs of existing and future communities, 155,000 new dwellings and to support population growth within the subregion.

• Due to the proximity to the built urban environment the agriculture production value of this land would not be a feasible use of the site.

The proposal will represent a minor loss of rural land 2.25 hectares in size.

The approval of the Director General (or his delegate) is required to the inconsistency as it is of minor effect.

1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries

This Direction applies to the Proposal as the subject land has previously been the subject of underground coal mining and may be subjected to future such activity. The Gateway determination should make consultation with the Department of Trade & Investment a requirement to ensure consistency with the Direction.

2.1 Environment Protection Zones

This Direction is relevant to the Proposal as the subject land contains a patch of Shale Sandstone Transition Forest. The SSTF is listed under both the NSW Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 and the Commonwealth Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999. As such, consultation with the relevant State and Federal environmental authorities should be required under the Gateway determination

The aforementioned authorities are the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage and the Commonwealth Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. Compliance with s.34A of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 is also necessary.

The Gateway determination should also require Council to prepare a flora and fauna study and consult with the Office of Environment & Heritage on the Proposal. These actions should be undertaken prior to public exhibition, and proposal accordingly.

2.3 Heritage Conservation

This Direction applies as a site to the south east contains a locally listed heritage item (Cottage).

Council proposes to undertake a heritage assessment in relation to the potential impact of the Proposal on a local heritage item located on adjoining land. As there do not appear to be any existing or likely heritage items on the subject land itself, it is considered that the Proposal is consistent with this Direction.

3.1 Residential Zones

This Direction applies to the Proposal as it rezones land for residential purposes. The subject land adjoins the existing residential area at Tahmoor and, as such, is consistent, in principle, with Council's Growth Management Strategy 2011, which identifies the subject land for potential residential growth.

Council has submitted its draft Growth Management Strategy to the Department for endorsement. The Department has delayed its consideration of the Strategy until the completion of the Government's Review of Potential Housing Opportunities on Landowner Nominated Sites. However, it is considered that, in principle, the Proposal holds merit and should proceed.

It is considered that the Proposal is consistent with this Direction as the Proposal will facilitate the orderly expansion of Tahmoor.

3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport This Direction applies to the Proposal as it will create a zone relating to urban land, i.e.

Thirlmere Way, Tahmoor	
	Zone R2 Low Density Residential. The Direction requires the Proposal to locate the zone, and include provisions that give effect to, and are consistent with the aims, objectives and principles of:
	(a) Improving Transport Choice - Guidelines for Planning and Development (DUAP 2001), and
	(b) The Right Place for Business and Services - Planning Policy (DUAP 2001).
	The Proposal is generally consistent with the above planning documents in that it adjoins the existing Tahmoor residential area and is close to rail (approximately 1km from Tahmoor Railway Station), buses (albeit limited - along nearby Thirlmere Way) and shops (approximately 1.25km from Tahmoor Commercial Centre). In view of the above, the Proposal is considered to be generally consistent with the Direction.
	4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land This Direction applies to the Proposal as it is located within the Bargo Mine Subsidence District. The Direction requires Council to consult with the Mine Subsidence Board and the Proposal indicates that such consultation will occur. Consultation should be made a requirement of the Gateway determination and that Council should demonstrate consistency with the Direction following public exhibition.
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection This Direction applies to the Proposal as the subject land contains bushfire prone land.
	The Direction requires Council to consult with the Commissioner of the Rural Fire Service prior to public exhibition and the Proposal indicates that such consultation will occur. Considered that the above consultation should be made a requirement of the Gateway determination and Council should is required to demonstrate consistency with the Direction following public exhibition.
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 This Direction requires the Proposal to be consistent with the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036. The Proposal is considered to be generally consistent with the above document in that it will provide housing opportunities in a generally appropriate location. This will assist in accommodating future housing needs within the Wollondilly LGA.
	SEPPS AND DEEMED SEPPS
	SEPP No 1—Development Standards The proposal does not contain provisions which would hinder the application of this SEPP
	SEPP No 4—Development Without Consent and Miscellaneous Exempt and Complying Development The proposal does not contain provisions which would hinder the application of this SEPP
	SEPP 44 - Koala Habitat Protection The Proposal identifies the subject land as containing Shale Sandstone Transition Forest (SSTF). Depending on the outcome of consultation with the Office of Environment and Heritage and the Flora, Fauna and Habitat Assessment, Council may need to amend the Proposal to include any required changes to address SEPP 44. Species that form part of SSTF form part of critical habitat for Koalas (i.e. Forest Red Gum, Grey Gum).
	SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land SEPP 55 applies to the Proposal as the subject land may have a history of agriculturaluse. The Proposal indicates that, pursuant to the SEPP, a preliminary land contamination investigation will be undertaken. It is considered that such investigation should be conducted prior to public exhibition of the Proposal and that this should be

nirlmere Way, Tahm		
	made a requirement of the Gateway determination.	
	SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 The proposal does not contain provisions which would hinder the application of this SEPP	
	SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 The proposal is consistent with this SEPP and does not contain provisions which would hinder the application of this SEPP	
	SEPP (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007 The proposal does not contain provisions which would hinder the application of this SEPP	
	SREP 20 - Hawkesbury - Nepean River (NO. 2—1997) SREP 20 applies to the Proposal and requires Council to give consideration to various general and specific planning matters and related recommended strategies. The Proposal includes a consideration of the above requirements and consequently identifies the need for various studies and plans to be undertaken.	
Mapping Provided	- s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided?	Yes	
Comment :	The mapping accompanying the Proposal is considered to be adequate for the purposes of consideration by the Gateway.	
Community consul	Itation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consu	Itation been proposed? No	
Comment :	The planning proposal has been through preliminary community consultation from October 2012 – November 2012 (4 weeks) with one submission received. Council has not proposed a time-frame for post-gateway consultation. A 28 day exhibition period is recommended.	
Additional Director	r General's requirements	
Are there any addition	al Director General's requirements? No	
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy o	of the proposal	
	et the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :	The proposal provides sufficient information for an assessment and recommendation for the purposes of a Gateway determination.	
oposal Assessmen	-	
•		
Principal LEP:		
Principal LEP: Due Date :		
Principal LEP:	Council's Standard Instrument Principal LEP, Wollondilly LEP 2011, was notified in February 2011.	
Comments in relation	2011.	

Consistency with	The Proposal is consistent, in principle, with Council's Draft Wollondilly Growth				
strategic planning framework :	Management Strategy 2011.				
	The subject land adjoins the residential area at Tahmoor and represents a logical extension to the urban boundaries.				
	Sydney 2036 and the	ne draft So		n of the Metropolitan Plan for egy as it provides housing	
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS The Proposal proposes the following studies: - flora and fauna and habitats, - hydrology (dealing with drainage and Water Sensitive Urban Design options), - bushfire hazard management, and - traffic and transport, - contaminated land, and - heritage The proposed studies will determine any environmental impacts and are supported. The Proposal also notes that, as the subject land is located at the rural-urban fringe, specific urban design requirements will be needed to minimise the potential for rural landuse conflicts and ensure compatibility with native vegetation on adjoining land. SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACTS No significant adverse social or economic impacts are expected.				
Proposal type :	Precinct		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Fimeframe to make LEP :	12 Month		Delegation :	Minister	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Hawkesbury - Nepe Office of Environme Department of Trad Mine Subsidence B NSW Rural Fire Ser Transport for NSW Sydney Water	ent and Hei e and Inves oard			
s Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
f no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(t	») : No			<i>.</i>	
f Yes, reasons :					
dentify any additional st	udies, if required. :				
Flora Fauna Heritage					

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Wollondilly Shire Council.letter .pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	No
Planning Proposal 90 THIRLMERE WAY, TAHMOORpdf	Proposal	No
Ecology Study .pdf	Study	No
Stormwater and Drainage study .pdf	Study	No
Bushfire Study .pdf	Study	No

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	 1.2 Rural Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the Proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the EP&A Act 1979 for a period of 28 days;
	2. The timeframe for completing the local environmental plan is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination (Council should consolidate and reduce the 4 months + 3 weeks + 3 months proposed for considering submissions);
	3. The Director General approves the inconsistency with section 117 Direction 1.2 – Rural Zones on the basis that the inconsistency is of minor significance;
	The following conditions should be met prior to community consultation:
	4. Council should consult with the Mine Subsidence Board and subsequently demonstrate consistency with section 117 Direction 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land;
	5. Council should consult with the Department of Trade & Investment (Mineral Resources and Energy) and subsequently demonstrate consistency with section 117 Direction 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries;
	6. Council should prepare a flora and fauna study and consult with the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage and the Commonwealth Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. Compliance with s.34A of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 is also necessary. Council should subsequently demonstrate consistency with Direction 2.1 Environment Protection Zones and address SEPP 44;
	7. Council should consult with the Commissioner of the Rural Fire Service and

Thirlmere Way, Tahmoor	
	subsequently demonstrate consistency with section 117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection;
	 8. Council should prepare the following studies: a heritage assessment (regarding the impact on the local heritage item located on the adjoining land), a preliminary land contamination investigation (and subsequent demonstration of compliance with SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land), a hydrology study (dealing with drainage and stormwater and water sensitive urban design options), a traffic and transport study, and a bushfire hazard assessment.
	9. Council should additionally consult with the following public authorities: - the Hawkesbury-Nepean Catchment Management Authority, - Sydney Water, - Roads and Maritime Services, and - Endeavour Energy.
Supporting Reasons :	1. The Proposal will provide additional housing opportunities by rezoning a parcel of land from RU4 Primary Production Small Lots to R2 Low Density Residential. This will increase housing supply in the area and contribute to meeting the objectives of the Wollondilly Growth Management Strategy
	The rezoning of the land is in keeping with the existing housing density of the area and provides an opportunity for additional housing choices.
8	3. This is an appropriate landuse as the proposal is 1km from Tahmoor Train Station and 1.3km from Tahmoor's employment area.
5	4. The land is in an existing residential area with urban services provided and will contribute towards the prevention of isolated rural areas being used for more intensive residential developments.
	MA
Signature:	11100
Printed Name:	STEPHEN GARDINER Date: 15/2/13